# Simulations and Background Estimation For $$N\nu DEx$$

#### Emilio Ciuffoli

Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou, China

email: emilio@impcas.ac.cn

Huizhou, December 16-17, 2023

### Overview

- Background Estimations
   EC (IMP), Surja Ghorui (IMP), Zeyu Huang (LanDa), Hao Qiu (IMP), Qiangmin Wang (LanDa)
  - $\bullet \ \gamma \ {\rm background}$
  - Fast Neutron Background
  - Cosmogenic Activation
  - Radon Background
- REST Framework & Neural Network
   Tao Li (SYSU), Shaobo Wang (SJTU), Siyuan Huang (UCAS & IMP)
  - Detector Geometry
  - Ion Drifting
  - Electronics Response
  - Convolutional Neural Network (CNN)

Signal

•  $0\nu 2\beta$  events: 2  $\beta$  tracks, with 2 Bragg peaks at the end



E 996

#### Background

- $\alpha$ : track very different from  $\beta$ , no background
- $\beta$ : Only 1 Bragg peaks, can be rejected using topology (suppression factor in NEXT  $\sim$  0.1)
- $\gamma:$  cannot deposit energy in the detector directly, but they can transfer energy to e^ via three processes
  - Compton Scattering: continuous spectrum
  - Photoelectric effect:  $E_{\beta} = E_{\gamma}$
  - Pair production:  $e^--e^+$  pair created,  $E_{pair} = E_{\gamma} 2m_e$ .



## Sources Of Background

- Natural radioactivity  $\Rightarrow$  in principle  $\alpha$ 's,  $\beta$ 's and  $\gamma$ 's, but the first two are easily shielded, only the latter is relevant
- Fast neutron background
- Cosmogenic activation of the material of the detector  $\Rightarrow$  activation rate is negligible underground, but it is a problem on the surface
- Radon background
- Also: pile-up background, cosmogenic muons background (negligible at CJPL, due to the rock overburden), etc...

#### Detector



20 cm thick lead shielding to stop the  $\gamma$  rays



HDPE placed inside and outside the LS to stop neutrons

## $\gamma$ Bakground

- Only  $^{214}{\rm Bi}$  (from  $^{238}{\rm U}$  decay chain) and  $^{208}{\rm TI}$  ( $^{232}{\rm Th}$ ) will create high energy  $\gamma{\rm 's}$
- Dominant contribution from <sup>214</sup>Bi, <sup>208</sup>TI is negligible
- Contamination of detector materials taken from NEXT-TDR



## Neutron Induced $\beta$ 's

If unstable isotopes are created **in the gas**, their decay can provide background. 4 dangerous isotopes



 $P_{ROI}$ : probability for a  $\beta$  to have energy within ROI. <sup>20</sup>F $\rightarrow$  main contribution

 $^{19}\text{O}$  and  $^{16}\text{N}$   $\rightarrow$  suppressed due to energy threshold,  $\textit{E}_n > 3.5, 0.5$   $^{83}\text{Se}$   $\rightarrow$   $\textit{P}_{ROI}$  is very low,  $2.4 \times 10^{-5}$ 

8/32

## Neutron Induced $\gamma{\rm 's}$

If neutrons are absorbed (anywhere in the detector)  $\gamma$ 's are created via  $(n,\gamma)$  or  $(n,n'\gamma)$  reactions (energy up to 10 MeV)  $\rightarrow$  dominant contribution



HDPE filler between SSV and Lead + 30 cm-thick external HDPE shield: neutron background down to 0.03 evs/yr.

9/32

## Cosmogenic Activation

- Cosmic rays can activate nuclei in the material of the detector on surface
- <sup>56</sup>Co is the most dangerous isotope, after exposure in Lanzhou, estimated background ~ 3400 events/year.
- 3 yrs cooldown ightarrow 0.18 evts/yr;
  - 2 yrs cooldown  $\rightarrow$  4.8 evts/yr;
  - 1 yrs cooldown  $\rightarrow$  127 evts/yr

			Events/veer
isotope	Q (MeV)	T <sub>1/2</sub>	
<sup>54</sup> Mn	1.4	312d	600
<sup>56</sup> Co	4.6	77d	500
<sup>57</sup> Co	0.8	272d	300
<sup>58</sup> Co	2.3	71d	200
<sup>60</sup> Co	2.8	5.3yr	
			3.0 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 (MeV)

Enorgy Don

## Radon Background

Radon is a gas part of the  $^{238}$ U and  $^{232}$ Th decay chains. It can diffuse and reach directly the fiducial volume: possible issues from  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ 



- $\beta$  from <sup>214</sup>Bi can be vetoed using  $\alpha$  from <sup>214</sup>Po (space and time coincidence)
- Maybe  $\gamma$  from <sup>214</sup>Bi as well? (only time coincidence)
- **Problem**: ions produced in the decay chain will be charged: they could drift (not taken into account so far)

#### Radon Background

#### For 1 Bq activity

- <sup>214</sup>Bi (from <sup>238</sup>U), β: large bg rate, but automatically vetoed via α: 2720 ± 30evts/yr
- <sup>214</sup>Bi (from <sup>238</sup>U),  $\gamma$ : lower than  $\beta$ , but it can happen far away from  $\alpha$ : 8.9 ± 0.2evts/yr
- $\bullet~^{210}TI$  (from  $^{238}U):$  suppressed by BR, but not negligible  $12.21\pm0.02\mathrm{evts/yr}$
- $^{208}{\rm Tl}$  (from  $^{232}{\rm Th}$ ): some contribution from  $\gamma{\rm 's}$  from here, but subdominant:  $1.0\pm0.03{\rm evts/yr}$

#### Rn activity from PANDA-X: ${\sim}18~\text{mBq}$

If only <sup>210</sup>Tl relevant: 16.4 mBq Rn activity to have 0.2 evts/yr If only <sup>214</sup>Bi- $\gamma$  relevant: 22.5 mBq Rn activity to have 0.2 evts/yr If both are relevant: 9.5 mBq Rn activity to have 0.2 evts/yr Material surface in the pressure chamber clean and smooth  $\Rightarrow$  lower Rn activity

## **REST** Framework

## REST is an event-based analysis framework unifying analysis and simulation.



Emilio Ciuffoli

Simulations N<sub>\nu</sub>DEx

(日)

E 990

### **Detector Geometry**

**Detector Geometry:** Preliminary construction of the geometric structure is complete. This includes gas, copper shielding, high-density polyethylene (HDPE) shielding, and lead shielding shielding.

**Read out Plane**: Adjacent pixels have a spacing of 8mm, with a total of 8192 pixels. The readout is performed on a pixel-by-pixel basis.



600F



900

### Ion Diffusion

The simulation of the ion diffusion has been completed. The diffusion is related to the drift length:

$$D_z(SeF_5^-) = rac{\mu_0(SeF_5^-)kT}{e(P/1atm)}$$
  $\sigma_z(SeF_5^-) = \sqrt{rac{2D_z(SeF_5^-)L}{v_d}}$ 



Red points:  $\sigma_z$  computed using the above formula; blue points with error bar: simulated data Upper panels: tracks without added ion diffusion, lower panels: tracks with added ion diffusion.



▶ ∢ ≣

#### Simulations For N $\nu$ DEx



Emilio Ciuffoli

Simulations N $\nu$ DEx

#### **Detector** Response

#### $SeF_6$ is not included in Garfield, gas parameters are directly set in REST



-24	23	-22-	-21-	-20	-19	-18 Y-a	17-16-15 xis (cm)
•	3	Ð		•	Ð	Þ	æ

Value

10 atm

Emilio Ciuffoli

Simulations N $\nu$ DEx

18/32

#### Readout scheme and Electronic Sampling



Emilio Ciuffoli Simulations NvDEx

19/32

(日)

#### Signal And Background

- Signal:  $0\nu 2\beta$  decay of <sup>82</sup>Se
- Background (example): 3 MeV  $\gamma$  from AcrilicPart in PandaX-III geometry (need to add other backgrounds)
- Energy Cut: E>2.5 MeV





XZ/YZ/XY track in R/G/B channel;

#### **Preliminary Results!**

CNN Model	DataSet(train+test)	Cut	Signal	Bg Rej.
SimpleCNN	20k+10k	0.995	42.21%	$5.4 \times 10^{-3}$
SimpleCNN	600k+100k	0.999	88.83%	$4.8 \times 10^{-3}$
EfficientNetB0	20k+10k	0.995	52.16%	$5.1 \times 10^{-3}$
EfficientNetB0	600k+100k	0.999	92.46%	$6.9 \times 10^{-3}$
EfficientNetB4	600k+100k	0.999	91.83%	$2.3 \times 10^{-3}$



Emilio Ciuffoli Simu

æ

- Using HDPE shield, fast neutron bg is subdominant with respect to  $\gamma$
- In the short term, the main source of background will be <sup>56</sup>Co from cosmogenic activation → ICS should be stored underground as soon as possible
- Radon could be an issue, it will depend on the contamination level and other factors, including the drifting, recombination and possibility of vetoing some of the decays.
- Completed the detector geometry in REST framework, working on signal and background simulations
- Topological cuts using CNN should allow us to further reduce the background, with limited loss of signal efficiency

## Backup Slides

Emilio Ciuffoli Simi



## $\gamma$ Background: Radioactive Contamination

Values of radioactivity assumed in the simulations for different parts of the geometry (for the materials of the detector, NEXT values were used)

Material	Subsystem	<sup>238</sup> U Activity (mBq/kg)
Concrete	Experimental hall	$6.8  imes 10^{3}$ [1]
Lead	External shielding	0.37 [2]
HDPE	External shielding	0.23 [2]
Steel	Pressure vessel	1.9 [2]
Copper	Inner copper shielding	0.012[2]
РОМ	Field cage	0.23[2]

 H. Ma *et al.*, "In-situ gamma-ray background measurements for next generation CDEX experiment in the China Jinping Underground Laboratory.", Astropart. Phys., 128:102560, 2021.
 V. Alvarez *et al.*,"NEXT-100 Technical Design Report (TDR): Executive Summary" NEXT-TDR, JINST,6237:T06001, 2012.

Isotope	Туре	BR	$T_{1/2}$	Q-Value (MeV)	$E_{eta}$
<sup>222</sup> Rn	α	1.0	3.8222 d	5.5904	-
<sup>218</sup> Po	$\alpha$	0.9998	3.098 min	6.11468	-
<sup>214</sup> Pb	β	1.0	26.8 min	1.018	1.018
<sup>214</sup> Bi	$\beta$	0.99979	19.9 min	3.269	3.269
<sup>214</sup> Po	α	1.0	164.3 $\mu$ s	7.83346	-
<sup>210</sup> Pb	$\beta$	${\sim}1.0$	22.20 yrs	0.0635	0.0635
<sup>210</sup> Bi	$\beta$	${\sim}1.0$	5.012 d	1.1622	1.1622
<sup>210</sup> Po	α	1.0	138.376 d	5.03647	-
<sup>206</sup> Pb	stable				

æ

▶ ∢ ≣

æ

] Isotope	Туре	BR	$T_{1/2}$	Q-Value (MeV)	$E_{eta}$
<sup>218</sup> Po	$\beta$	2×10 <sup>-4</sup>	3.098 min	0.259913	?
<sup>218</sup> At	$\alpha$	$2 \times 10^{-4}$	1.5 s	6.874	-
<sup>218</sup> At	$\beta$	2×10 <sup>-7</sup>	1.5 s	2.881314	?
<sup>218</sup> Rd	α	2×10 <sup>-7</sup>	35 ms	7.26254	-
<sup>214</sup> Bi	α	$2.1 \times 10^{-4}$	19.9 min	5.62119	-
<sup>210</sup> Tl	$\beta$	$2.1 \times 10^{-4}$	4.202 min	5.48213	4.386
<sup>210</sup> Pb	$\alpha$	$1.9 \times 10^{-6}$	22.2 yrs	3.7923	-
<sup>206</sup> Hg	β	$1.9 \times 10^{-6}$	8.32 min	1.308	1.308
<sup>210</sup> Bi	α	$1.32 \times 10^{-6}$	5.012 d	5.03647	-

æ

## $^{232}\mathrm{Th}$ Decay Chain

Isotope	Туре	BR	T <sub>1/2</sub>	Q-Value (MeV)	$E_{\beta}$
<sup>220</sup> Rn	α	1.0	55.6 s	6.404	-
<sup>216</sup> Po	α	1.0	0.145 s	6.906	-
<sup>212</sup> Pb	$\beta$	1.0	10.64 h	0.570	0.570
<sup>212</sup> Bi	$\beta$	0.64	60.55 min	2.252	2.252
<sup>212</sup> Po	α	0.64	299 ns	8.784	-
<sup>212</sup> Bi	$\alpha$	0.36	60.55 min	6.208	-
<sup>208</sup> Tl	$\beta$	0.36	3.053 min	5.0	1.803
<sup>208</sup> Pb	stable				

E nac

#### Dangerous Isotopes

#### For 1 Bq Rn activity

Isotope	Туре	BR	$T_{1/2}$	$E_{\beta}$	evts/yr
$^{208}\mathrm{Tl}{ ightarrow}^{208}\mathrm{Pb}$	β	0.36	3.1 min	1.8	0
$^{208}\text{Tl}\rightarrow^{208}\text{Pb}$	$\gamma$	0.36	3.1 min	1.8	1.0
$^{210}\mathrm{Tl}{\rightarrow}^{210}\mathrm{Pb}$	β	$2.1 \times 10^{-4}$	4.2 min	4.4	12.2
$^{214}\text{Bi}{\rightarrow}^{214}\text{Po}$	β	$\sim 1$	19.9 min	3.3	2720
$^{214}\text{Po}{\rightarrow}^{210}\text{Pb}$	$\alpha$	1.0	164.3 $\mu$ s	7.8	-
$^{214}\text{Bi}{\rightarrow}^{214}\text{Po}$	$\gamma$	~1	19.9 min	3.3	8.9

- $\beta$  from <sup>214</sup>Bi can be vetoed using  $\alpha$  decay of <sup>214</sup>Po
- Maybe also  $\gamma$  from <sup>214</sup>Bi can be vetoed as well?
- $\bullet\,$  Main contribution from  $^{208}\mathrm{Tl},$  this cannot be vetoed
- Without considering <sup>214</sup>Bi, Rn activity should be <8.3 mBq to have bg rate 0.1-0.2 evts.yr
- In PANDA-X, Rn activity  ${\sim}18$  mBq

#### Energy Deposited - U chain



#### Gamma Spectrum



æ

## Energy Deposited - Gamma

#### Energy Deposited



#### Energy Deposited

